

That is signed Angelo Agro, M.D., president of the Medical Society of New Jersey.

We can have differences of opinion, but this does make a difference in a terms of a policy.

There are a number of issues, but the one with which I am most concerned is that the Norwood amendment would preempt new State laws in 10 States: Arizona, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, Oklahoma, to name several. This is on page 20, line 20 through 22.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. SNYDER).

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from Michigan for yielding time to me.

As a family practitioner, I have had the experience of thinking a patient needs to have counseling. I have to take them into a room, have them dial a 1-800 number to their insurance company, have the clerk who picks up the phone at the end make the decision about whether they get counseling, who they see, and how many sessions they get.

That is practicing medicine. That is delivering medical care. That is why it is my opinion that the Norwood amendment destroys this bill. Please read page 15. I know my Republican colleagues had a caucus this morning. They discussed this State preemption issue. Please read page 15 of the Norwood amendment.

It clearly states: "Yes, States can continue to have the liability provisions for the delivery of medical care," but then it defines that anything that the insurance company has to do with making decisions about claims determinations is not medical care.

The example I gave, the 800 number, they say, No, that is not medical care. Mr. Chairman, that is medical care. When that clerk at the end of the phone makes decisions, they should be held just as liable as the family doctor.

The Norwood amendment destroys the growing protections that are developing in State law. This amendment needs to be voted down.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from California (Mrs. CAPPs).

Mrs. CAPPs. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Ganske-Dingell Patients' Bill of Rights. This bill gives the American people strong, enforceable protections from the abuses and hard edges of the HMOs. It returns control of medical decisions to doctors and their patients, and takes it out of the hands of the bean counters. It guarantees patients access to health care they desperately need.

I am a nurse. We nurses and our patients are particularly pleased by the whistleblower protections included in Ganske-Dingell. They would protect a nurse or other health professional who wants to blow the whistle on substandard care to a regulatory agency or accreditation body.

I want to urge my colleagues to oppose the amendments to weaken this underlying bill. Ganske-Dingell holds HMOs accountable when they harm patients by denying them care. HMOs have been willing to trade patient safety for lower costs and higher profit margins. Ganske-Dingell gives patients the tools they need to protect themselves.

With all due respect to our colleague, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD), his amendment would eliminate this essential protection. That weakens State laws and would dilute the ability to effectively enforce the Patients' Bill of Rights. His amendment would give the HMOs special protections that no other business or industry has.

This bill should be about protecting patients, not HMOs. Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support the bill and oppose the Norwood, Fletcher, and Thomas amendments.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from California (Ms. ESHOO).

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding time to me.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the bill offered by the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. GANSKE) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. DINGELL), which is the real patient protections bill.

For many years, we have been trying to bring the pendulum back to the center to bring some accountability to the process of health care, where patients are enrolled with an insurer to give them the kind of rights that they need; to bring the physician and the patient relationship back to the sacred center where it belongs.

Last night something happened. The gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD), a dentist, brokered something with the White House, and we are being asked to trust.

I want to tell the Members something. I want to verify for my constituents. This is the group that has voted to permit more arsenic in drinking water. This is the group that supports offshore oil drilling. This is the group that wants to drill in ANWR. This is the President that rejects a global warming treaty. This is the group that will not ratify biological warfare bans.

Do Members know what? I do not trust that record. I do not think this is the group I want to go with. I want real patient protection rights. We should reject this attempt to dress it up as something that it is not.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. BERRY).

Mr. BERRY. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding time to me. I thank the ranking member, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. DINGELL), the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS), the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. GANSKE), and all the people who have worked so hard on trying to get a legitimate Patients' Bill of Rights on this floor so we could vote on it, so the American people would have what they

have tirelessly asked for, and that our people could get the health care they have paid for.

It is unbelievable to me that today we are going to allow an amendment to this bill that will make it possible once again for the insurance companies to mistreat, abuse, take advantage of the American people for time immemorial, it appears, right now.

We are going to be standing here a year from now, and we are going to see these same pictures the gentleman from Iowa (Dr. GANSKE) has been showing us ever since I have been in this House. They are horrible pictures. The thought of an insurance company doing this to a child is unbearable and unbelievable to all of us.

But we are going to take up an amendment today and a bill today that would make it possible for the insurance companies to continue to do this, only with more impunity. We are not going to be able to hold them accountable for anything. We are going to supersede State law; and to make matters even worse, Mr. Chairman, this bill is going to cost \$20 billion, and we are going to use the magic pay-for card to pay for it.

I do not know where this card money comes from, but we are going to start issuing them to anyone. Anytime we have a bill and we do not know where to get the money for it, get the magic pay-for card for it. Members can see it, surely. All we have to do is present it and everything is already all right. We are not even going to pay for this bill.

We had the pay-fors in this bill last night, and the Committee on Rules took it out. It is unbelievable that we would allow the insurance companies to continue to take advantage of the American people.

Mr. Chairman, I urge our Members not to vote for this terrible piece of legislation.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Chairman, I rise on behalf of this bill.

What is this bill? It is the bill that the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD) got on the floor and said he supports. It is a bill that, in 1999, 275 of us voted for in a bipartisan fashion, and in a bipartisan fashion for 24 months we have labored to pass that bill. We did pass it, and it was bottled up in conference committee because the Republican leadership did not want it to become law.

The gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD) wants a bill that can be signed. I agree. But the way to get a bill that can be signed is to show where the bill ought to be, and those 275 of us for the underlying bill should vote for that bill today and send it to conference, have the conference work on it, and let the President come to the conference; not, with all due respect to my friend, the gentleman from Georgia (CHARLIE NORWOOD), one Member, but to the conference, to the Senate and